# Survival and Development of Language Communities

#### MULTILINGUAL MATTERS

Series Editor: John Edwards, St. Francis Xavier University, Canada

Multilingual Matters series publishes books on bilingualism, bilingual education, immersion education, second language learning, language policy, multiculturalism. The editor is particularly interested in 'macro' level studies of language policies, language maintenance, language shift, language revival and language planning. Books in the series discuss the relationship between language in a broad sense and larger cultural issues, particularly identity related ones.

Full details of all the books in this series and of all our other publications can be found on http://www.multilingual-matters.com, or by writing to Multilingual Matters, St Nicholas House, 31–34 High Street, Bristol BS1 2AW, UK.

# Survival and Development of Language Communities

Prospects and Challenges

Edited by **F. Xavier Vila** 

**MULTILINGUAL MATTERS** Bristol • Buffalo • Toronto

#### Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress. Survival and Development of Language Communities: Prospects and Challenges/Edited by F. Xavier Vila. Multilingual Matters: 150 Includes bibliographical references and index. 1. Language policy—Europe--History—21st century. 2. Language policy—Europe, Central—History—21st century. 3. Language policy—Europe, Eastern—History—21st century. 4. Language planning—Europe—21st century. 5. Language planning—Europe, Central—21st century. 6. Language planning—Europe, Eastern—21st century. 7. Language and languages—Variation—Europe. I. Vila, F. Xavier. II. Vila, F. Xavier. P119.32.E85S87 2012

306.44'94-dc23 2012036455

#### British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue entry for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN-13: 978-1-84769-835-3 (hbk) ISBN-13: 978-1-84769-834-6 (pbk)

#### **Multilingual Matters**

UK: St Nicholas House, 31-34 High Street, Bristol BS1 2AW, UK.
USA: UTP, 2250 Military Road, Tonawanda, NY 14150, USA. *Canada*: UTP, 5201 Dufferin Street, North York, Ontario M3H 5T8, Canada.

Copyright © 2013 F. Xavier Vila and the authors of individual chapters.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the publisher.

The policy of Multilingual Matters/Channel View Publications is to use papers that are natural, renewable and recyclable products, made from wood grown in sustainable forests. In the manufacturing process of our books, and to further support our policy, preference is given to printers that have FSC and PEFC Chain of Custody certification. The FSC and/or PEFC logos will appear on those books where full certification has been granted to the printer concerned.

Typeset by Techset Composition Ltd., Salisbury, UK. Printed and bound in Great Britain by Short Run Press Ltd.

## Contents

	Tables and Figures Contributors	vii ix
1	The Analysis of Medium-Sized Language Communities F. Xavier Vila and Vanessa Bretxa	1
2	The Main Challenges Facing Czech as a Medium-Sized Language: The State of Affairs at the Beginning of the 21st Century Jiří Nekvapil	18
3	Challenges Facing Danish as a Medium-Sized Language J. Normann Jørgensen	38
4	Slovene, Between Purism and Plurilingualism <i>Maja Bitenc</i>	58
5	Challenges Faced by a Medium-Sized Language Community in the 21st Century: The Case of Hebrew <i>Anat Stavans</i>	81
6	Challenges for the Estonian Language: A Poststructuralist Perspective Delaney Michael Skerrett	105
7	A Small National Language and its Multilingual Challenges: The Case of Latvian <i>Uldis Ozolins</i>	130

vi	Survival and Development of Language Communities	
8	Is Catalan a Medium-Sized Language Community Too? Emili Boix-Fuster and Jaume Farràs i Farràs	157
9	Challenges and Opportunities for Medium-Sized Language Communities in the 21st Century: A (Preliminary) Synthesis F. Xavier Vila	179
	Index	201

## Tables and Figures

### List of Tables

2.1	Number of inhabitants in the Czech Republic based on 'mother tongue' (2001)	20
2.2	Number of inhabitants in the Czech Republic based on ethnicity (2001)	21
2.3	Foreigners in the Czech Republic (30 April 2009)	22
2.4	Number of students in primary and secondary schools learning foreign languages in the Czech Republic between 1995/1996 and 2008/2009 (numbers in thousands)	23
5.1	Comparison of ethno-linguistic vitality between the study groups: Russian and Ethiopian immigrants of the early 1990s	96
7.1	Non-titulars in the Baltic states claiming proficiency in the titular language	137
7.2	Use of language by sociolinguistic domains and institutions in Latvia	142
7.3	Changing proficiency in Latvian of persons whose mother tongue is not Latvian	147
7.4	What is your attitude towards the teaching of subjects in Latvian in minority schools?	148

viii	Survival and Development of Language Communities					
9.1	Numbers of speakers of languages analysed in the MSLCs project (in millions)	180				
9.2	Multilinguals in the EU: 'Which languages do you speak well enough in order to be able to have a conversation, excluding your mother tongue?'	185				
Lis	List of Figures					
2.1	The Czech Republic and its main regions	19				
5.1	Jewish population and Hebrew around the world (April 2010)	85				
5.2	Street signs in Tel Aviv	88				
5.3	The local branch of the Ministry of Immigrant Absorption in Rehovot	89				
5.4	Hebrew–Russian bilingual store sign in Rehovot	90				
5.5	Poster announcing a concert in Amharic and Hebrew in Rehovot	90				
5.6	Shopping bags from Israel labelled with two alphabets	95				
7.1	Latvia: Changes in national compostion of inhabitants 1935–1989	136				
7.2	Latvian language environment 1935–2000	144				
7.3	Latvians knowing Russian and Russians knowing Latvian, by age group	145				

## Contributors

**Maja Bitenc** is a postgraduate student, researcher and assistant in the field of Slovene studies and sociolinguistics at the Faculty of Arts of the University of Ljubljana. Her research interests include language and identity, language attitudes, variationist sociolinguistics, dialectology and corpus linguistics. She studied Slovene and English at the University of Ljubljana and at Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Germany. She has conducted research on Slovene emigrants in Germany for her diploma thesis, which was awarded the Prešeren Prize of the Faculty of Arts and the prize offered by the Government's Office for Slovenians Abroad. She has worked at the Centre for Slovene in Second/Foreign Language and has taught Slovene and English at primary and grammar school.

**Emili Boix-Fuster** is a full Professor in Sociolinguistics at the Department of Catalan Philology, University of Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain. He regularly teaches sociolinguistics and language planning. In conjunction with Miquel Strubell, he has recently edited *Democratic Policies for Language Revitalisation: the Case of Catalan* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2011). He has been awarded the 'Serra i Moret' prize by the Catalan government for his essay, 'Civic responsibility against cynicism. Languages to live and to coexist' (Generalitat de Catalunya, 2011, in Catalan). He is editor of the academic journal *Treballs de Sociolingüística Catalana* and a member of the steering committee of the University of Barcelona's University Centre of Sociolinguistics and Communication (CUSC).

**Vanessa Bretxa** is an Assistant Professor at the University of Barcelona and a researcher at the University Centre for Sociolinguistics and Communication (CUSC). She has participated in several large-scale sociolinguistic projects regarding language use and competence in the Catalan-speaking areas, and in the practices of cultural and media consumption of young speakers of Catalan and Spanish in the Barcelona metropolitan area. She is the co-editor of the journal *Llengua, societat and comunicació* (LSC), a publication of CUSC.

**Jaume Farràs i Farràs** is Associate Professor in Sociology at the Department of Sociology and Organizational Analysis, University of Barcelona. He obtained his PhD in Sociology at UCM and the École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales de la Sorbonne in Paris. He has been a researcher at the University of Michigan and the University of Essex. He is a member at the University Centre for Sociolinguistics and Communication at the University of Barcelona (CUSC). He conducts research on various American, European and national programmes, as well as for different Catalan institutions. His research interests are the sociology of leisure, consumption and sociolinguistics.

**J. Normann Jørgensen** is a Professor, dr.pæd., at the University of Copenhagen (1995–) and was previously at the University of Texas (1978–1979) and the Danish University of Education (1980–1995). He is the Leader of the University of Copenhagen Center for Danish as a Second Language and a co-leader of the Center for the Study of Language Change in Real Time. He was the Leader of the Køge Project in bilingualism (1987–2007), and he is currently the leader of the Amager Project in Polylanguaging (2009). He has conducted studies in sociolinguistics, particularly in polylingualism, language change and youth language. His publications include books, articles and reviews, among them *Languaging. Nine Years of Polylingual Development of Turkish–Danish Grade School Students*, Copenhagen, 2010. He has won several Danish and Nordic championships in sabre fencing.

**Jiří Nekvapil** teaches sociolinguistics, pragmatics, discourse analysis and general linguistics in the Department of General Linguistics at Charles University, Prague. He has published extensively in these areas. His current research focuses on multilingual practices in companies and universities, history and theory of language planning, including Language Management Theory, and history as used and produced in biographic narratives. In 2009, he founded the book series Prague Papers on Language, Society and Interaction (Peter Lang Publishing Group).

**Uldis Ozolins** is a past Australasian President of the Association for the Advancement of Baltic Studies and an active researcher and commentator on contemporary Baltic issues. He gained his PhD from Monash University, has taught at the University of Melbourne, Deakin University, La Trobe University Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology and University of Western Sydney

in several disciplines (politics, philosophy, education, interpreting/translating). He also runs his own consultancy on areas of language, interpreting and translating, and multicultural communication. He has taught and conducted research on Baltic issues at several universities in Latvia and has collaborated with scholars in Estonia and Lithuania.

**Delaney Skerrett** recently completed his PhD in Applied Linguistics at The University of Queensland, where he is also Associate Lecturer. He also has a Bachelor of Business and a Master of Education from the Queensland University of Technology and an Honours degree in Spanish from The University of Queensland. He has an MA in Baltic Studies from the University of Tartu, a Postgraduate Diploma in Applied Linguistics from Monash University, a Graduate Diploma in Social Science from the University of New England, and a Graduate Diploma of Psychology from Central Queensland University. Skerrett also lectures part time at the Centre for Baltic Studies and the Department of English at the University of Tartu and is a part-time Senior Research Assistant at the Australian Institute for Suicide Research and Prevention at Griffith University.

**Anat Stavans, PhD** is a Senior Lecturer in Applied Linguistics in the English Department and Director of the Research Authority at Beit Berl Academic College, as well as researcher at the Institute for Innovation in Education at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem. Her research focuses on developmental and educational linguistics, trilingual acquisition and development, and cross-cultural and cross-linguistic literacy development. She is the author of numerous articles on code-switching, narrative input and development, immigrant bilingualism, educational language policy, parent–child interaction, and multiliteracy development. Recently, she edited the volume *Studies in Language and Language Education: Essays in Honor of Elite Olshtain* (with Irit Kupferberg, 2008) and *Linguistic and Developmental Analysis of Child-Directed Parental Narrative Input* (in Hebrew). Dr Stavans has led several projects concerning early language and literacy development among educators and parents and has served as consultant to several international agencies.

**F. Xavier Vila** is an Associate Professor at the University of Barcelona. He obtained an Extraordinary Degree Award in Catalan Philology in Barcelona and a PhD in Linguistics at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel. He was the first director of the CRUSCAT Research Network on sociolinguistics of the Institut d'Estudis Catalans, the Catalan National Academy of Sciences, and is the current Director of the University Centre for Sociolinguistics and

Communication at the University of Barcelona (CUSC). He is also the director of the Master's programme in Language Consultancy, Multilingual Language Management and Editorial Services at the UB's Catalan Philology Department. He has published a wide range of books and specialist articles in the areas of sociolinguistics, demolinguistics and language policy of Catalan and other medium-sized and minority languages, among them *New Immigrations and Languages*, in press, Barcelona (in Catalan).

# 1 The Analysis of Medium-Sized Language Communities

## F. Xavier Vila and Vanessa Bretxa

### Looking at Languages in Between

It may come as a surprise to many that sociolinguistics, understood in a broad sense as the discipline that studies the relation between language and society, has so far been unable to agree on a basic typology of linguistic communities. More than half a century ago, Ferguson's Diglossia (1959) established the outlines of what was expected to be the first step towards a more general classification (Ferguson, 1991). However, in spite of the paper's huge success, and also the calls made by other authors in this direction (e.g. Einar Haugen's (1971: 25) advocacy of a 'typology of ecological classification', as well as Gumperz, 1962, or Kloss, 1966), the truth is that the efforts to establish a clear, systematic and comprehensive sociolinguistic classification of languages and linguistic communities around the world have been relatively unsuccessful. In other words, sociolinguistics has still not produced a typology that classifies language communities and/or their linguistic ecologies according to a widely accepted set of features. Also, to put it in in Peter Mühlhäusler's terms, 'To understand why so many individual languages are disappearing requires an understanding of the ecological conditions that sustain complex language ecologies' (Martí et al., 2005: 45).

One of the reasons for this failure may lie in the complex relation of sociolinguistics with the language construct, and with some of the main concepts associated with it. The main focus of research of sociolinguistics as a discipline is linguistic diversity (Coulmas, 2005), and most introductions to the field make clear from the very beginning that the notion of language itself is polysemic, ambiguous, difficult to define and even 'a fallacy' (Simpson, 2001: 31). It is indeed commonplace that every introductory

course to sociolinguistics reminds the novice that language borders are often impossible to delineate in purely linguistic terms; that languages show very disparate degrees of internal structural difference; that mutual intelligibility is not a safe indicator of the 'language' versus 'dialect' divide; and that, in actual terms, the distinction between a language and a dialect is a contingent sociohistorical compromise rather than an immutable structural fact, to the extent that some scholars propose rejecting the notion of language altogether (Blommaert, 2010).

In such a context, it is not surprising that many of the existing typologies of linguistic situations have not adopted languages or language communities as their analytical frame, but rather polities, and especially sovereign states (cf. Bastardas & Boix, 1994; Laitin, 2000; Spolsky, 2004). These typologies attempt to classify polities according to the number of languages spoken in each country and the official status and function of each, and thus have a strong legal, politological approach to the analysis of sociolinguistic situations. Indeed, one does not have to subscribe whole-heartedly to the oftquoted saying that 'a language is a dialect with an army and a navy' to consider that (sovereign) states constitute one of the main factors to be taken into account when analysing any given sociolinguistic ecosystem. In fact, states play such a central, decisive role in language policy in contemporary times that any classification that ignores their existence and impact is doomed to failure. Besides this, empirical quantitative analyses are often impossible across state borders, for the basic statistical data crucially needed for sociolinguistic analysis are usually provided by public administrations, and therefore depend strongly on existing political and administrative borders. Consider Europe, for instance. Comparative analysis of the sociolinguistic reality of the European languages has become much easier since the Union – a *sui generis* political entity, but a polity at the end of the day – decided to take on the task of obtaining comparable data in all of its Member States by means of the Eurobarometers. Before then, sociolinguistic comparisons across countries had to deal with the arduous task of putting side by side the results from disparate data-collecting methods based on vastly different premises (cf. Extra & Gorter, 2008). It is no coincidence that the root of the term 'statistics' is 'state'.

Important as they undoubtedly are, politologically oriented classifications of languages and language groups and situations do not in themselves exhaust the possibilities of classifying languages and linguistic situations. On the one hand, they do not necessarily capture some crucial aspects of a particular language community such as the degree of intergenerational transmission, language use in socioeconomic spheres, cultural production and consumption, and the ideological positioning of its speakers vis-à-vis other languages. On the other hand, almost by definition, research structured on the basis of political borders finds it difficult to deal with phenomena that go beyond them, and languages do go beyond borders at least in three different senses. First, the borders of the almost 200 independent states in the world do not coincide with those of the 5000 to 6000 languages (still) spoken. Second, even if nation states have striven to make their citizens linguistically homogeneous, people move around and take their linguistic repertoires with them. Finally, people communicate more and more across borders. A glance at Fischer's (2011) map of the world language communities of Twitter should suffice to convince the reluctant that next to the politologically oriented classifications, we need more refined sociolinguistically oriented comparative analyses, that is, analyses that pay attention not only to sovereign states, but also to people(s) and communities.

In fact, there are a number of classifications that are more community oriented, and therefore link themselves, in a more or less ambiguous way, with the historical meaning of language community mentioned above. Most of these classifications (although not all - think of the concept of ethnolinguistic vitality; Ehala, 2010; Giles et al., 1977; Harwood et al., 1994) tend to focus on one of the ends of an imaginary 'majority-minority' continuum, basically understood in demographic terms. Several among them focus on the weakest extreme of this continuum, analyse in detail the challenges and prospects of weak and weakened languages, and provide refined analyses and classifications of more or less severely endangered language communities (cf. Edwards, 1992, 2010; Euromosaic; Fishman, 1991; Grenoble & Whaley, 1998; Moseley, 2010). Others, in contrast, such as those produced by de Swaan (2001), Calvet (1999) or Graddol (2006), focus particularly on the most spoken languages of the world, and pay little attention to the rest, packing 98% of them together in the lowest, clearly undifferentiated category of 'peripheral' languages. Thanks to these and many other initiatives, sociolinguistics has made remarkable progress in understanding the dynamics at both ends of the continuum. However, between these two extremes the situation is rather different. Languages in 'intermediate' positions, those that can be regarded simultaneously as the head of a dog and the tail of a lion, are less often taken as the explicit object of comparative analyses. Indeed, there is much to be learned from comparing the communities placed between the big ones (i.e. those with many millions of speakers) and the small ones (i.e. those with only a few thousand).

Certainly, the languages included in this intermediate group are far from homogeneous. They range from fully standardized languages, with a long record of written literature, to varieties that have rarely transcended the status of oral vernaculars and tend to be regarded as dialects of other