

# **Researching Language Teacher Cognition and Practice**

## NEW PERSPECTIVES ON LANGUAGE AND EDUCATION

**Series Editor:** Professor Viv Edwards, *University of Reading, Reading, Great Britain*

**Series Advisor:** Professor Allan Luke, *Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia*

Two decades of research and development in language and literacy education have yielded a broad, multidisciplinary focus. Yet education systems face constant economic and technological change, with attendant issues of identity and power, community and culture. This series will feature critical and interpretive, disciplinary and multidisciplinary perspectives on teaching and learning, language and literacy in new times.

Full details of all the books in this series and of all our other publications can be found on <http://www.multilingual-matters.com>, or by writing to Multilingual Matters, St Nicholas House, 31–34 High Street, Bristol, BS1 2AW, UK.

# **Researching Language Teacher Cognition and Practice**

International Case Studies

Edited by

**Roger Barnard and Anne Burns**

**MULTILINGUAL MATTERS**

Bristol • Buffalo • Toronto

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

Researching Language Teacher Cognition and Practice: International Case Studies/

Edited by Roger Barnard and Anne Burns.

New Perspectives on Language and Education: 27

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Language and languages—Study and teaching—Psychological aspects.

2. Language teachers—Training of—Cross-cultural studies. 3. Language and education—Cross-cultural studies. 4. Interaction analysis in education—Cross-cultural studies. 5. Cognition. 6. Psycholinguistics. I. Barnard, Roger- II. Burns, Anne  
P53.7.R47 2012

418.0071—dc23 2012022105

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue entry for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN-13: 978-1-84769-790-5 (hbk)

ISBN-13: 978-1-84769-789-9 (pbk)

### **Multilingual Matters**

UK: St Nicholas House, 31-34 High Street, Bristol BS1 2AW, UK.

USA: UTP, 2250 Military Road, Tonawanda, NY 14150, USA.

Canada: UTP, 5201 Dufferin Street, North York, Ontario M3H 5T8, Canada.

Copyright © 2012 Roger Barnard, Anne Burns and the authors of individual chapters.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the publisher.

The policy of Multilingual Matters/Channel View Publications is to use papers that are natural, renewable and recyclable products, made from wood grown in sustainable forests. In the manufacturing process of our books, and to further support our policy, preference is given to printers that have FSC and PEFC Chain of Custody certification. The FSC and/or PEFC logos will appear on those books where full certification has been granted to the printer concerned.

Typeset by R. J. Footring Ltd, Derby

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Short Run Press Ltd

# Contents

Contributors		vii
Introduction	Roger Barnard and Anne Burns	1
Current Approaches to Language Teacher Cognition Research: A Methodological Analysis	Simon Borg	11
1 Questionnaires	Case Study: Judy Ng Commentary: J.D. Brown	30 39
2 Narrative Frames	Case Study: Nguyen Gia Viet Commentary: Martin Bygate	48 58
3 Focus Groups	Case Study: Andrew Gladman Commentary: Donald Freeman	68 80
4 Interviews	Case Study: Le Van Canh Commentary: Alan Maley	90 102
5 Observation	Case Study: Simon Humphries Commentary: Jerry Gebhard	109 119
6 Think Aloud	Case Study: Jinrui Li Commentary: Thomas S.C. Farrell	128 137
7 Stimulated Recall	Case Study: Jonathon Ryan Commentary: Susan Gass	144 154

8 Oral Reflective Journals	Case Study: Jenny Field	162
	Commentary: Jill Burton	171
Final Thoughts	Anne Burns and Roger Barnard	180
Index		187

# Contributors

**Roger Barnard** is a senior lecturer in applied linguistics at the University of Waikato. Before taking up his present post in New Zealand in 1995, he worked in England, mainland Europe and the Middle East as a teacher, teacher educator, manager and advisor to ministries of education. He has recently accepted visiting professorships in Japan, Korea and Vietnam, where he has taught undergraduate and postgraduate courses and undertaken joint research projects.

**Simon Borg** is Professor of TESOL at the School of Education, University of Leeds. His key areas of research in the field of language education are teacher cognition, teacher education and teacher research and he has published widely in these areas. Full details of his work are available at <http://www.education.leeds.ac.uk/modx/people/staff/academic/borg>.

**James Dean ('JD') Brown** is Professor in the Department of Second Language Studies at the University of Hawaii at Manoa. He has spoken and taught courses in places ranging from Brazil to the former Yugoslavia, and has published numerous articles and books on language testing, curriculum design, programme evaluation, research methods and connected speech.

**Anne Burns** holds joint positions as Professor of Language Education, Aston University, Birmingham, and Professor of TESOL, University of New South Wales, Sydney. Her research interests include action research, teacher education, the teaching and learning of speaking and teacher cognition. Her most recent book is *Teaching Speaking: A Holistic Approach* (co-authored with Christine Goh, 2012, Cambridge University Press). She is a Member-at-Large of the International Association of Applied Linguistics (AILA) and from 2011 she was appointed Academic Advisor to the Oxford University Press Applied Linguistics Series.

**Jill Burton** is Adjunct Associate Research Professor at the University of South Australia, Adelaide, covering applied linguistics and TESOL education. She has supervised doctoral students for some years and edited journals and many books. Her current research interests are academic writing practices and qualitative research methodologies.

**Martin Bygate** is Professor of Applied Linguistics and Language Education at the University of Lancaster. His main interests are task-based language learning, the teaching and learning of L2 speaking and pedagogical grammar, especially the connections between tasks, language, the teacher and learning. His most recent publication is *Tasks in Second Language Learning* (co-authored with Virginia Samuda, 2008, Palgrave). He co-edited the journal *Applied Linguistics* from 1998 to 2004 and was President of the International Association of Applied Linguistics (AILA) from 2008 to 2011.

**Thomas S.C. Farrell** is Professor of Applied Linguistics at Brock University, Canada. His professional interests include reflective practice and language teacher education and development. His recent books include *Essentials in Language Teaching* (co-authored with George Jacobs, 2010, Continuum Press) and *Teaching Practice: A Reflective Approach* (co-authored with Jack Richards, 2011, Cambridge University Press).

**Jenny Field** has taught ESOL in New Zealand for 13 years and presently manages a community-based organisation – English Language Partners Waikato – which works with migrants and refugees. She is interested in language acquisition for those from oral traditions as well as language teacher cognition and has a particular interest in Timor-Leste. She recently completed her MPhil at the University of Waikato.

**Donald Freeman** is a Professor at the School of Education, University of Michigan. He was a former graduate faculty member at the School of International Training, where he chaired the Department of Language Teacher Education and founded and directed the Center for Teacher Education, Training, and Research, a unit that designed and implemented teacher education projects around the world. His research focuses on the role of programme design and implementation in teacher professional learning.

**Susan Gass** is University Distinguished Professor at Michigan State University, where she is Director of the English Language Center and the Second Language Studies PhD programme. She has served as President of the International Association of Applied Linguistics (AILA). She has published widely in the field of second-language acquisition.



**Jerry Gebhard** is Professor of English Language Teaching at Pusan National University and Professor Emeritus at Indiana University of Pennsylvania. He has leadership roles in a wide range of graduate programmes there, and in his previous professorial posts, and has advised many doctorate candidates from many parts of the world. He publishes frequently on issues relating to teaching English as a foreign or second language, most recently the book *What Do International Students Think and Feel? Adjustment to Life and Culture at US Colleges* (2010, University of Michigan Press).

**Andrew Gladman** has a PhD in applied linguistics from Macquarie University, Sydney, and has been teaching ESOL for 17 years. He coordinated the development of the Direct Entry English Programme for postgraduate and undergraduate students at Massey University, in Auckland, in 2009–10. He spent 10 years teaching in Japan as an English language and linguistics lecturer at Miyazaki International College and at a number of junior colleges and high schools in Miyazaki and Okayama prefectures. He has also taught English to adults in Bristol, England, and Mississippi in the United States. His research interests include collaborative teaching, computer-mediated communication and textbook discourse.

**Simon Humphries** has been teaching English in Japan for over 10 years. He has a PhD in linguistics from Macquarie University. His research interests include action research, curricular innovation, textbook analysis and professional development in English language teaching. He is currently an assistant professor at Doshisha University in Kyoto.

**Le Van Canh** (MA TESOL, Saint Michael's College, USA; PhD Applied Linguistics, University of Waikato) is a Senior Lecturer at the University of Languages and International Studies, Vietnam, where he teaches research methodology in applied linguistics and TESOL methodology courses to graduate students. He is a regular presenter at international conferences and has published in various international journals. His research interests include teacher cognition, teacher professional development and English as an international language.

**Jinrui Li** completed her PhD at the University of Waikato. Her PhD project explored contextual and emotional issues in tutor cognition of assessing writing by applying a synthesised sociocultural approach and multiple methods of data collection. Before conducting this project in 2008, she was a lecturer, teaching college English and translation in Hebei Polytechnic University in China. Her research interests include assessing academic

writing, qualitative research methods, language education and educational psychology.

**Alan Maley** has been involved in English language teaching for 50 years. He has lived and worked in Yugoslavia, Ghana, Italy, France, China, India, Thailand, Malaysia, Singapore and the UK. He has published over 40 books and numerous articles in the field. He is currently Visiting Professor at Leeds Metropolitan University, as well as spending much of his time in writing and lecturing worldwide.

**Judy Ng** was a lecturer in a private university in Malaysia. She obtained her BA and MA from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia and is currently completing her PhD at the University of Waikato. Her research interests are teacher cognition, feedback in second-language writing, socio-linguistics and teaching English as a second or foreign language.

**Nguyen Gia Viet** has been a language teacher and teacher trainer for more than 10 years in Vietnam. He earned an MA degree in TESOL studies at the University of Queensland, Australia, in 2005. Viet is currently completing his PhD thesis at the University of Waikato. His research interests include task-based language teaching, second-language acquisition and teacher cognition.

**Jonathon Ryan** has been a language teacher nearly all his adult life and currently teaches English at Wintec in Hamilton, New Zealand. He has previously taught at schools in Ireland and Mexico, and managed a private language school in New Zealand. His PhD from the University of Waikato was entitled 'Acts of reference and the miscommunication of referents by first- and second-language speakers of English'.

# Introduction

Roger Barnard and Anne Burns

This book emerged from our combined interest in, and experiences of, conducting or supervising research on language teacher cognition. We planned the contributions in such a way that we hope will be helpful to emergent researchers, particularly Masters and PhD students – and, perhaps, their supervisors – in understanding some of the practical implications of collecting qualitative data, with a focus on this particular field of research.

The book aims to fill the gap between conventional research methodology books and published reports of research such as are found in academic journals. While volumes on methodology may explain how and why a particular approach to data collection should be used, they tend not to give specific and detailed examples of the ‘messiness’ of research – what may go wrong and how to overcome the obstacles that invariably get in the way of a smooth research journey. The constraints of writing up a report of a research project for a journal in, usually, 5000 or 6000 words mean that all too often accounts of how data are collected are cursory and ‘rough patches’ may be smoothed over. It is an all too common experience of qualitative researchers that their best-laid plans and schedules, as Robert Burns said, ‘gang aft aglay’ and they have to improvise on the spot in order to make the best of what may sometimes be a bad lot. But sometimes, too, these ad hoc decisions lead to surprisingly interesting outcomes and may even turn the project into a much better one than was originally conceived.

It is worth starting this introduction by explaining why this collection of case studies focuses on language teacher cognition, a field of research that has expanded rapidly over the last two decades. As Simon Borg makes clear in the following chapter, it is evident that what teachers do in the conduct of their professional activities is shaped, though not entirely determined, by what they believe and know. Interestingly, while this phenomenon has been well understood in mainstream educational circles since at least the 1970s, it

is only relatively recently that the language teaching profession has started to investigate the implications. This recognition is largely due to Borg's seminal work in his many publications, and especially in the overview of empirical studies and the methodological framework he developed for further research (Borg, 2006). Without such an impetus, none of the studies reported in this volume – and indeed the book itself – might have been possible.

All the contributors to this book believe, and we anticipate our readers will agree, that teaching is more than merely transmitting information. Indeed, the management, motivation and sustainability of learning can be understood only by exploring what teachers believe and do in their specific working contexts. After all, teachers are the executive decision-makers of the curriculum: it is they who put into practice the principles and procedures devised or mandated by others, such as course-book writers, methodological experts and officials of ministries of education. Failure by such people to take into account what teachers believe and know about language teaching will lead to failure to realise the intended curriculum.

However, the exploration of language teacher cognition is an extremely complicated matter. In the first place, the goals of language teaching have expanded over the past few decades – for example, from understanding linguistic structures at sentence level to communicative competence at discourse level to intercultural communicative pragmatic competence, in a world where acronyms reflect the changing awareness of the English language itself, ESL and EFL transmogrify into EIL and ELF. So, it is essential, but far from easy, to find out what teachers know and believe about fundamental issues such as: the place of grammar in the language learning curriculum and, indeed, what is understood by the word 'grammar'; the most appropriate ways to teach the language skills and sub-skills; the respective roles of teachers and learners in innovative approaches to language teaching, such as task-based language learning; the appropriate classroom use of the learners' first language; how language learning could and should be assessed or evaluated – and many other matters.

What teachers believe and what they know about any of these issues is a complex nexus of interacting factors. One of the most important initial influences on teachers' cognition is their experience (good or bad) of their own language learning at school, college or university. To a greater or lesser extent, professional training or development programmes, whether pre-service or in-service, also affect teachers' knowledge and beliefs. Many teachers also increase their awareness of their role by reading books and articles by influential authorities, and perhaps by attending conferences and seminars. Teachers also learn from their own past and present professional experiences as teachers, and by interacting with their learners,

their colleagues and other teachers in a wider community of practice. The influence of ‘significant others’ in their personal lives – spouses, partners, family, friends – cannot be discounted. Finally, the imposition of authority – whether by school principals, inspectors, examination boards or ministries of education – also shapes teachers’ knowledge and beliefs.

Although teachers may have strongly held beliefs, they do not always put these into practice. The reasons need to be understood by exploring the specific contexts in which they work, each of which is itself a complex and dynamic system (Larsen-Freeman & Cameron, 2008) in which physical, temporal, cognitive, social and cultural factors interact to provide affordances for, or constraints on, the practical application of beliefs about teaching and learning, which in turn influence what teachers believe and know. Teaching and learning occur within certain physical and temporal boundaries, which will tend to affect the teacher’s ability or willingness to act in accordance with his or her beliefs; for example, fixed seating arrangements may hinder effective group work, or the wish to introduce a new topic may be inappropriate at a specific time. Understanding teachers’ practices should be tempered by awareness of the nature of the cognitive and affective styles and strategies among their learners; a belief in co-operative learning may be thwarted by a realisation that – at this stage at least – the specific group of learners are unaccustomed to such practices and would resist its adoption. Indeed, because teaching and learning are quintessentially social activities, unpeeling the complexities of the interaction of cognition and classroom action requires deep engagement with the conditions operating in the environment.

Borg (2006, and in his methodological analysis in the subsequent chapter of this volume) points out that among researchers there is a wealth of, and perhaps some confusion about, terminology, and it may be worth indicating how some key terms are understood by the authors of the eight case studies in this book. *Assumptions* may be regarded as axioms which enable us to make pre-judgements about the world around us; for example, a teacher facing a new class of learners will assume that, in a number of respects, they will be similar to classes she or he has previously taught. After working for some time with these new learners, the teacher will *perceive* that there are similarities with, and differences between, this class and previous ones, and new, somewhat tentative *attitudes* will emerge. With further experience, these attitudes will tend to be refined, rejected or reformulated and then incorporated into a set of firmer and more stable *beliefs*. What distinguishes a belief about something from a *knowledge* of something is that respected members of one’s community accept it as a fact. Thus, in pre-Copernican times, ordinarily people did not believe that the sun revolved around the

earth: they *knew* it. It is in this comprehensive sense that the authors regard cognition as being contextually situated and socially distributed.

The above discussion clearly points to the need for research into teacher cognition to be exploratory, in the sense made clear by Allwright (2006), who argues, among other things, that researchers should seek to describe and understand the complexity of classroom teaching, and to recognise that idiosyncrasy within a particular setting is a more important phenomenon than what is common across classrooms. Thus, classroom research should not be reductionist in the sense of looking for simplistic, generalisable findings that can apply beyond the specific context; rather, accounts of classroom research should be sufficiently transparent and honest to enable the reader to judge the trustworthiness of the reports and, where appropriate, relate the findings to his or her own context. Such research also needs to be longitudinal, in order to account for the inevitably changing relationships over time between key issues, and participatory, so that meanings behind behaviour can be explained by the key actors. Finally, explorations of teachers' beliefs and classroom practices should adopt a judicious blend of methods of data collection in order that the information that emerges can be compared, contrasted and triangulated to provide thick descriptions of the context, which in turn can lead to rich interpretations (Geertz, 1973) of the extent of convergence and divergence between what teachers believe and what they actually do.

The authors of the case studies in Chapters 1–8 are themselves emergent researchers, in most cases having recently completed doctoral projects in various countries. All of them have employed multi-methods of data collection in their studies, but each has selected only one of these methods to report in this book. They recount 'tales from the field' (Van Maanen, 1988) by introducing the aims and context of their study, briefly reviewing relevant published studies on the topic and explaining the methodological issue they have decided to focus on. The next part of their account is more detailed information about their study and examples of the data they have collected. These descriptions are followed by a discussion of the methodological implications arising from their particular project, and their story is completed with a reflection on the lessons they have learned from the experience. The second author of each chapter is an internationally recognised researcher and scholar, with a particular interest in the methodological approach discussed in the case study. Each provides an insightful commentary on the tale from the field from the perspective of a well informed outsider.

The third part of each chapter throws the issues back to you, the reader, by providing discussion points and questions for you to consider, and if possible discuss with others, and activities to try out if you yourself are

planning to collect qualitative data using the approach described in the chapter. An ideal way to use these questions would be to join with other researchers, possibly colleagues conducting research for graduate dissertations and theses, and use them as starting points for exploring one of these methodological approaches. Equally, the ideas for small-scale action could be tried out among a supportive group of research colleagues, to gain insights into the pros and cons of using different methods.

The focus of all these chapters is on exploring the beliefs and practices of (language) teachers about various issues related to their profession. But the lessons the authors have learned are relevant to other qualitative research topics, whether of teaching and learning situations or of wider social issues.

In Chapter 1, Judy Ng discusses her use of questionnaires with lecturers in a private university in Malaysia. The survey was intended to be a scoping study for her main project by obtaining key biodata from her respondents, eliciting their attitudes and reported practices of giving feedback on their students' written assignments and – importantly – recruiting volunteers to participate in the subsequent phases of the project. As Judy reports, she encountered a number of unanticipated difficulties, which led her to make several ad hoc decisions in the field. Ultimately her project veered away from its original purpose, but this shift actually led to a more valuable study than was originally conceived. Her story is commented on by J.D. Brown, who has long enjoyed an international reputation for his interest and expertise in quantitative research designs, but who has also recently published an extremely useful chapter (Brown, 2009) that discusses how to devise qualitative questionnaires. In his commentary on Judy's case, he suggests that the data-gathering phase of any study, but especially in interview/questionnaire research, is likely to be the most difficult. This is because the researcher may have to rely on the goodwill of people who have generally critical attitudes towards questionnaires as a result of past experience. It is necessary to overcome these difficulties in order to get a good response rate and credible data. But this does not mean that research should be rigid and inflexible; rather, like Judy, he has found that anomalies in his own research often lead in interesting and useful directions.

The second chapter focuses on the adoption by Nguyen Gia Viet of narrative frames (Barkhuizen & Wette, 2008) with Vietnamese teachers attempting to apply task-based language teaching (TBLT) in their high-school classes. Viet reports that this form of guided composition scaffolded the participants to reflect on their classroom experiences and to give expression to their beliefs in their first language. The frames also enabled him to gather data which could reasonably easily be compared and analysed. In his discussion of the methodological implications, Viet reports his